

# Brief Characterisation of Ochre from the Marta Adit (Nižná Slaná, Spiš-Gemer Ore Mts., Eastern Slovakia)

Slavomir Hredzák<sup>1\*)</sup>, Marek Matik<sup>2)</sup>, Oľga Šestinová<sup>3)</sup>, Daniel Kupka<sup>4)</sup>, Zuzana Bártová<sup>5)</sup>, Lenka Hagarová<sup>6)</sup>, Anton Zubrik<sup>7)</sup>, Ingrid Znamenáčková<sup>8)</sup>, Silvia Dolinská<sup>9)</sup>

## http://doi.org/10.29227/IM-2024-01-01

Submission date: 8.5.2023 | Review date: 24.5.2023

# Abstract

The contribution deals with the study on composition of ochre sample from the Marta adit at Nižná Slaná. Three samples were prepared from ochre by hand picking and screening, which were subjected to GA, AAS, XRF and XRD. Salts precipitated on surface at drying contain 17.7 % S (53 % as SO<sub>4</sub>), 16.5 % MgO, 13.6 % Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 3.54 % CaO and 1 % MnO. As to mineral composition, sulphates such as gypsum, starkeyite and rozenite are dominant. The fraction of grain size under 250 micron contains 30 % Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 28.6 % SiO<sub>2</sub>, 8 % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 6.6 % CaO, 2.9 % MgO and 1.95 % S. Notable is content of arsenic in this fraction, which attain as high as 1.84 %. Quartz and gypsum occur as dominant crystalline phases. As to coarser fraction, i.e. under 150 microns, 44.5 % SiO<sub>2</sub>, 16.8 % Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 11.3 % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 6.9 % CaO, 2.4 % MgO a 2.3 % C were assayed. Dominant quartz is accompanied by mica, plagioclase, ankerite and dolomite. It was shown that arsenic is bonded in finer grain size fraction.

Keywords: mineralogical composition, ochre, Nižná Slaná, Slovakia

### Introduction

An occurrence of ochre in abandoned mining workings in Slovakia is fact known for narrow special-interest group. The formation of ochre is caused by weathering mainly by iron-containing minerals and problems of acid mine drainage (AMD) is connected with this process.

The most of problems with acid mine drainage are connected with oxidation of iron sulphidic minerals, that results in environment acidity increasing, release sulphates and metals. Although formation of acids and lixiviation of metals are usually connected with oxidative dissolving of sulphidic minerals, also other mineral groups, such as sulphates, carbonates, oxides and alumosilicates can be source of metals in AMD. But just pyrite / marcasite (FeS<sub>2</sub>), pyrhotite (Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S, (x = 0-0.17, IMA: Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>) and chalcopyrite (CuFeS<sub>2</sub>) as the most common sulphides are at weathering also the most common source of acid mine drainage. The often contain isomorphous admixtures of trace elements and this fact results in contamination of AMD by high concentration of elements such as As, Cd, Cu, Mo, Ni, Pb, and Zn [1–3].

In Slovakia, the quality of mining waters, refuse heaps and settling pits drainages, quality of sediments / ochres in mining workings and an influence of effluents on quality of water and sediments in rivers is monitored in the areas of abandoned mines. Moreover, mechanism of sulphides weathering, contribution of microorganisms to their weathering processes, also influence of pH and temperature, climate on formation of secondary minerals, i.e. mainly ochres, as well as function of ochres at mobility of toxic elements in soils and water, and at toxic elements removing from waters are studied naturally not only in Slovakia [1, 4–8]. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1\*)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: hredzak@saske.sk; <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6802-9684</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: matik@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-6204-8048</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: sestinova@saske.sk, <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1684-7882</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: dankup@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-5825-9507</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: bartova@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-9918-1224</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: hagarova@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-6421-2676</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: zubant@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-2176-0549</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: znamenackova@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-1705-7607</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9)</sup> Institute of Geotechnics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Watsonova 45, SK-04001 Košice, Slovakia; email: sdolinska@saske.sk, <u>https://ORCID.ORG/0000-0003-4650-2922</u>

Smolník abandoned mine (eastern Slovakia) is studied in particular detail [9–12], but an attention is paid to localities such as Pezinok (western Slovakia) [9, 13], Banská Štiavnica (central Slovakia) [9, 14] and Poproč (eastern Slovakia) [15, 16]. So, the study on composition of ochre from Nižná Slaná may contribute to new knowledge and understanding about this issue.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The sample of ochre was taken from the Marta adit at Nižná Slaná (súradnice???). It was dried in laboratory drier MMM Venticell (Czech Republic) at temperature 105 °C. Then, white thin crust of precipitated salts was carefully removed (Fig. 1). A rest of sample, practically own ochre was subjected to sieving using vibratory sizer TE-III (Czech Republic) equipped by the screen of mesh size 250 µm. So, in such way, three samples were prepared, which were analysed by GA, AAS, XRF and XRD.

SiO<sub>2</sub> content was assayed gravimetrically (GA). Major elements, i.e. Fe, Al, Mg, Ca, Mn, K, Na and As have been analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy using the device VARIAN with accessories: Fast Sequential AAS AA240FS, Zeeman AAS AA240Z with Programmable Sample Dispenser PSD120, Graphite Tube Atomizer GTA120 and Vapor Generation Accessory VGA-77 (Australia).

Minor elements such as Ti, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Sb, Hg and Pb were determined using a SPECTRO XEPOS X-ray fluorescence spectrometer model XEPO3, range of elements:  $_{11}Na_{-92}U$ , scattering targets: Mo, Co, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Pd, HOPG-crystal, X-ray lamp (type VF50): Pd with Be window, resolution: 145 keV on line K $\alpha$  Mn. The sample for XRF analysis was dried and sieved to below 100  $\mu$ m, then 5 g of sample were homogenized with 1 g of Clariant micro powder C (CEREOX BM-0002-1) and then pressed under 15 t to a pellet with a 32 mm diameter.

Carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulfur were determined using a CHNS Vario MACRO Cube analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. Helium (purity 99.995 %, intake pressure 2 bar) was chosen as a carrier gas in all analyses. The purity of oxygen for combustion was 99.995 % with intake pressure of 2 bar. The combustion tube was set at 1150°C, the reduction tube at 850°C. The sulfanilamide (C = 41.81 %, N = 16.26 %, H = 4.65 %, S = 18.62 %) was used as the CHNS standard.



Fig. 1. Precipitated sulphates.

(a) - the Marta adit, (b) - dried sample of ochre with crust formed from precipitated sulphate salts on surface, bowl diameter 25 cm, (c) - detail of (b), (d) - a piece of dried ochre with crust (upper piece width 4 cm), (e) and (f) ochre (mag. 25x) coarser grains coated by red (oxy)hydroxides

(g) piece of crust obtained by air drying (mag. 15x), (e) piece of crust obtained by air drying (mag. 30x)

X-ray powder diffraction study was performed using D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker, Germany), working with Cu K $\alpha_1$  radiation at voltage 30 kV and current 40 mA. The data were collected over the angular range 5° < 2 $\theta$  < 80° with measuring steps 0.03° and a counting time 5s. Measured diffractograms were processed using programs Diffracplus Basic and Excel. The databases such as webmineral, handbookofmineralogy and the Arizona bookcase were also used at mineral phases identification [17–19]. Abbreviations of minerals were used after [20].

Documentation of ochre sample was performed by mobile phone Sony Xperia. Optical observations were performed by monocular microscope Levenhuk (magnification max. 30x).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results of chemical analyses are introduced in Tabs 1-2. XRD patterns are illustrated in Figs. 2-4.

Tab. 1. Composition of ochre fractions and salts precipitated during sample drying in %.														
sample	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaO	MgO	K <sub>2</sub> O	Na <sub>2</sub> O	MnO	As	С	Н	Ν	S	
lphate salts	0.4	13.6	0.1	3.54	16.5	0.33	0.18	1.03	0.20	0.350	2.405	0.250	17.6	

SU

ochre –250 µm	28.6	30.1	8.2	6.58	2.9	0.93	0.76	0.26	1.84	1.755	1.240	0.305	1.949
ochre +250 µm	44.5	16.8	11.3	6.90	2.4	1.59	1.48	0.23	0.78	2.300	0.504	0.285	0.456

Tab. 2. Selected minor elements in ochre in ppm.												
Column	Ti	V	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Sb	Hg	Pb		
ochre	1385	51	< 1	89	282	37	481	220	< 1	1010		



Fig. 2. XRD pattern of of precipitated salts - interpretation.

Gp -gypsum - CaSO<sub>4</sub> • 2H<sub>2</sub>O, Ske - starkeyite - MgSO<sub>4</sub> • 4H<sub>2</sub>O, Rzn - rozenite - Fe<sup>2+</sup>SO<sub>4</sub> • 4H<sub>2</sub>O, Szo - szomolnokite - Fe<sup>2+</sup>SO<sub>4</sub> • H<sub>2</sub>O, Ksr - kieserite - MgSO<sub>4</sub> • H<sub>2</sub>O, Pbtl - parabutlerite - Fe<sup>3+</sup>(SO<sub>4</sub>)(OH) • 2H<sub>2</sub>O, Hhy - hexahydrite - MgSO<sub>4</sub> • 6H<sub>2</sub>O, Hjrs -hydroniumjarosite - (H<sub>3</sub>O)Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>3</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub> F - Iron Sulphate Hydrate - diiron(II) bis(sulphate(VI)) dihydrate -Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> • (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> (card 01-085-5307) M - Magnesium Sulphate Hydrate - magnesium sulphate(VI) 2.5-hydrate Mg(SO<sub>4</sub>) • (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2,5</sub>, (card 01-077-9800) Byg - botryogen - MgFe<sup>3+</sup>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH) • 7H<sub>2</sub>O, Jôk - jôkokuite - Mn<sup>2+</sup>(SO<sub>4</sub>) • 5(H<sub>2</sub>O)



Fig. 3. XRD pattern of ochre fraction -250 micron - interpretation.

Gp – gypsum – CaSO<sub>4</sub> • 2H<sub>2</sub>O, Qz –quartz – alpha–SiO<sub>2</sub>, Mca /ill – mica/illite, most probably muscovite/sericite – KAl<sub>2</sub>(AlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>)(OH)<sub>2</sub>, Hbt – hydrobiotite – K(Mg,Fe<sup>2+</sup>)<sub>6</sub>(Si,Al)<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub> • nH<sub>2</sub>O, Pl – plagioclase, most probably albite – NaAlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, Chl – chlorite (probably clinochlore), Sme – smectite, Dol – dolomite – CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Ank – ankerite – Ca(Fe<sup>2+</sup>,Mg)(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Sdr – siderite – Fe<sup>2+</sup>CO<sub>3</sub>, Cal – calcite – CaCO<sub>3</sub>, Gth – goethite – alpha–FeOOH, Lpc – lepidocrocite – gamma–FeOOH, Amp – amphibole, Hem – hematite – alpha–Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Hjrs –hydroniumjarosite – (H<sub>3</sub>O)Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>3</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>, Hoh – hohmannite – Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O • 8H<sub>2</sub>O, Röm – roemerite – Fe<sup>2+</sup>Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> • 14H<sub>2</sub>O, Ett – ettringite – Ca<sub>6</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>1</sub> • 26H<sub>2</sub>O, Fcpi – ferricopiapite – Fa<sup>3+</sup><sub>0.67</sub>Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>4</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> • 20H<sub>2</sub>O, Scd – scorodite – Fe<sup>3+</sup>(AsO<sub>4</sub>) • 2H<sub>2</sub>O, Fer – ferrarisite – Ca<sub>6</sub>(AsO<sub>3</sub>OH) • 2H<sub>2</sub>O, Ffr – fibroferrite – Fe<sup>3+</sup>(SO<sub>4</sub>)(OH) • 5H<sub>2</sub>O

599



 $\label{eq:Fig.4.XRD} \begin{array}{l} Fig. 4. XRD pattern of ochre fraction +250 micron - interpretation. \\ Qz -quartz - alpha-SiO_2, Mca /ill - mica/illite, most probably muscovite/sericite - KAl_2(AlSi_3O_{10})(OH)_2, \\ Pl - plagioclase, most probably albite - NaAlSi_3O_8, Gp -gypsum - CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O, \\ Chl - chlorite (probably clinochlore), Sme - smectite, Dol - dolomite - CaMg(CO_3)_2, Ank - ankerite - Ca(Fe^{2+},Mg)(CO_3)_2, \\ Sdr - siderite - Fe^{2+}CO_3, Cal - calcite - CaCO_3, Psym - parasymplesite - Fe^{2+}_3(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O, \\ Fcpi - ferricopiapite - Fe^{3+}_{0.67}Fe^{3+}_4(SO_4)_6(OH)_2 \cdot 20H_2O, \\ Ffr - fibroferrite - Fe^{3+}(SO_4)(OH) \cdot 5H_2O \end{array}$ 

Chemical and mineralogical composition of precipitated salts depends on amount and quality of water, with which ochre is saturated. Thus during drying, saturated water elevated to the surface and evaporated and in such way calciferous, magnesium and iron sulphates precipitated.

The precipitated salts (Fig. 2) are dominantly represented by gypsum, starkeyite and rozenite. As accompanying minerals occur two unnamed phases iron sulfate hydrate or diiron(II) bis(sulfate(VI)) dehydrate, and magnesium sulfate hydrate or magnesium sulfate(VI) 2.5-hydrate, and also szomolnokite and kieserite. All other phases can be considered as accessories or their presence is questionable.

As to ochre fraction -250 microns (Fig. 3) gypsum and quartz are dominant. Accompanying minerals are represented by mica, plagioclase (albite), dolomite, and ankerite. Peaks of siderite and calcite are also well observable. On the other hand the peaks of goethite and lepidocrocite are low/weak. Significant amount of iron is bonded in amorphous Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Other minerals occur as accessories or their presence is not sure, often only their main peak is recognisable.

Concerning ochre fraction +250 microns (Fig. 4) quartz is dominant mineral and it is accompanied by mica, plagioclase, ankerite and dolomite. Also peaks of siderite, gypsum chlorite are visible well. An occurrence of other phase they can be considered as accessories or their occurrence is questionable. Goethite and lepidocrocite were not identified.

So ochre from Nižná Slaná is formed mainly from primary barren minerals of this deposit, gypsum and also from only one valuable mineral, i.e. siderite [21]. Basic association is similar to Smolník (quartz, feldspar, mica, chlorite), but ochre from Nižná Slaná does not contain schwertmannite, and instead of jarosite in Smolnik, most probably hydroniumjarosite occurs in Nižná Slaná. But the most difference is abundance of carbonates in ochre from Nižná Slaná.

Concerning arsenic as the most toxic mineral of this deposit it seems that it concentrates in finer fraction and can form individual mineral phases most probably scorodite  $-Fe^{3+}(AsO_4) \cdot 2H_2O$ , further pharmacolite  $-Ca(AsO_3OH) \cdot 2H_2O$ , parasymplesite  $-Fe^{2+3}(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$  and ferrarisite  $-Ca_5(AsO_3OH)_2(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 9H_2O$ . From above mentioned implies that ochre limits arsenic mobility. Moreover there are huge amount of research works dealing with application of ochres or better said iron (oxy)hydroxides in arsenic removal from waters, or for this purpose synthetic iron (oxy)hydroxides are prepared. Besides above mentioned goethite and lepidocrocite, akaganeite beta-FeOOH is known by its high affinity especially to arsenic [22].

#### Conclusion

Ochre from Nižná Slaná is formed mainly by primary minerals of deposits, such as quartz, mica, albite, chlorite, dolomite, ankerite, calcite, siderite and gypsum. Goethite and lepidocrocite occur only in finer grain size fraction of ochre. Chemical analysis showed that just finer fraction of ochre contain much more arsenic and iron (1.84 % As, 30.1 % Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), then coarser one (0.78 % As, 16.8 % Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). So it points to the fact that Fe (oxy)hydroxides limits of arsenic mobility at this locality.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Slovak Scientific Grant Agency for the project VEGA 2/0167/21 and VEGA 2/0156/19. This study has received funding from the European Institute of Innovation and Technology (EIT), a body of the European Union, under the Horizon 2020, the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (Project BioLeach: Innovative Bio-treatment of RM, grant number: 18259).

## References

- 1. D. K. Nordstrom, D. W. Blowes, C. J. Ptacek, "Hydrogeochemistry and microbiology of mine drainage: An update", Applied Geochemistry 57, 3–16 (2015).
- 2. T. M. Valente, C. Leal Gomes, "Occurrence, properties and pollution potential of environmental minerals in acid mine drainage", Science of The Total Environment 407, 1135–1152 (2009).
- 3. B. Dold, "Evolution of Acid Mine Drainage Formation in Sulphidic Mine Tailings", Minerals 2014, 4, 621–641 (2014).
- L. X. Chen, L. N. Huang, C. Méndez-García, J. L. Kuang, Z. S. Hua, J. Liu and W. S. Shu, "Microbial communities, processes and functions in acid mine drainage ecosystems", Current Opinion in Biotechnology 38, 150–158 (2016).
- D. Kupka, L. Hagarová, Z. Bártová, "Growth and iron oxidation kinetics of Acidithiobacillus ferrivorans at suboptimal temperatures", in Geochémia/Geochemistry 2019, Conference Proceedings, edited by Ľ. Jurkovič, I. Slaninka, J. Kordík (State Geological Institute of Dionýz Štúr, Bratislava, Slovakia, 2019), pp. 111–114. (in Slovak)
- R. Moreno-González, F. Macías, M. Olías, C. R. Cánovas, "Temporal evolution of acid mine drainage (AMD) leachates from the abandoned tharsis mine (Iberian Pyrite Belt, Spain)", Environmental Pollution 295, 118697 (2022).
- F. M. Doyle, "Acid mine drainage from sulphide ore deposits" in Sulphide deposits their origin and processing, edited by P. M. J. Gray, G. J. Bowyer, J. F. Castle, D. J. Vaughan, N. A. Warner (Springer, Dordrecht, 1990), pp. 301–310.
- O. Brachtýr, P. Šottník, Ľ. Jurkovič, P. Sekula, J. Vozár, "Use of natural and supported formation of Fe-oxyhydroxides as remedial method for contaminated mine waters" in Geochémia/Geochemistry 2018, Conference Proceedings, edited by Ľ. Jurkovič & Igor Slaninka (State Geological Institute of Dionýz Štúr, Bratislava, Slovakia, 2018), pp. 90–93. (in Slovak)
- 9. O. Lintnerová, V. Šucha, V. Streško, "Mineralogy and geochemistry of Acid Mine Fe-precipitates from the main Slovak Mining regions", Geologica Carpathica 50, 395–404 (1999).
- 10. O. Lintnerová, P. Šottník, S. Šoltés, "Abandoned Smolník mine (Slovakia) a catchment area affected by mining activities", Estonian Journal of Earth Sciences 57, 104–110 (2008).
- 11. M. Balintova, E. Singovszka, M. Holub, "Qualitative characterization of sediment from the Smolnik creek influenced by acid mine drainage", Procedia Engineering 42, 1520–1526 (2012).
- 12. D. Kupka, Z. Dakos, A. Horňáková, M. Achimovičová, V. Kavečanský, "Effluent water quality and the ochre deposit characteristics of the abandoned Smolník mine, East Slovakia", Acta Montanistica Slovaca 17, 56–64 (2012).
- 13. J. Majzlan, B. Lalinská-Voleková, M. Chovan, Ľ. Jurkovič, S. Milovská, J. Göttlicher, "The formation, structure, and ageing of As-rich hydrous ferric oxide at the abandoned Sb deposit Pezinok (Slovakia)", Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 71, 4206–4220 (2007).
- E. Michalková, M. Schwarz, P. Pulišová, B. Máša, P. Sudovský, "Metals Recovery from Acid Mine Drainage and Possibilities for their Utilization", Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 22, 1111– 1118 (2013)
- 15. Ľ. Jurkovič, P. Šottník, R. Flaková, M. Jankulár, Z. Ženišová, M. Vaculík, "Abandoned Sb-deposit Poproč: Source of contamination of natural constituents in Olšava river catchment", Mineralia Slovaca 42, 109–120 (2010). (in Slovak)
- P. Sekula, P. Šottník, Ľ. Jurkovič, B. Lalinská-Voleková, K. Schwarzkopfová, Z. Májeková, "Geochemical and mineralogical composition of mine waters treatment product at the Poproč locality", in Geochémia/Geochemistry 2017, Conference Proceedings, edited by Ľ. Jurkovič, I. Slaninka, J. Kordík, O. Ďurža (State Geological Institute of Dionýz Štúr, Bratislava, Slovakia, 2017), pp. 132–133. (in Slovak)
- 17. D. Barthelmy. [Online] 1997-2014. Available at: www.webmineral.com.
- 18. J. W. Anthony, R. A. Bideaux, K. W. Bladh, M. C. Nichols (eds.), Handbook of Mineralogy (Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, VA 20151-1110, USA). Available at http://www.handbookofmineralogy.org/. 2004-2020.
- 19. MINDAT. Available at: https://www.mindat.org/
- 20. L. N. Warr, "IMA-CNMNC approved mineral symbols", Mineralogical Magazine 85, 291-320 (2021).

- 21. S. Hredzák, M. Lovás, M. Matik, J. Briančin, K. Štefušová, J. Vereš, I. Znamenáčková, "Comment to mineralogical-chemical limits of Slovak spathic iron ores as exemplified by upgrading of siderite from the Nižná Slaná deposit", in Situation in ecologically loaded regions of Slovakia and central Europe XIII, edited by S. Hredzák (Slovak Mining Society, Košice, 2014), pp. 146–153. (in Slovak)
- 22. M. Václavíková, G.P. Gallios, S. Hredzák, Š. Jakabský, "Removal of arsenic from water streams: An overview of available techniques", Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy 10, 89–95 (2008)..